

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appellant: Dale K. Bell
Serial No.: 09/981,238
Filed: October 17, 2001
Group Art Unit: 3682
Examiner: Julie Knecht Smith
Title: AXLE LUBRICANT ISOLATION

REPLY BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed on June 5, 2007. Appellant is resubmitting the Reply Brief previously filed on June 12, 2006.

Claims 1 and 11:

On page 5 of the Examiner's Answer, the Examiner argues that "Applicant has misinterpreted the Final Rejection because the Glaze reference was not used to teach the claimed seal arrangement, but was used to teach the basic structure of a conventional differential assembly." However, the Examiner must look at the teachings of the references as a whole, otherwise the Examiner is merely picking and choosing elements to make the rejection. Here, the Examiner cannot simply ignore the particular structure or problems faced in Glaze, which is being used as the base reference.

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that the Reply Brief is being facsimile transmitted to the United States patent and Trademark Office, fax number (571) 273-8300 on August 6, 2007.


William Gottschalk